

# Crossflow Attenuated Natural Laminar Flow for Transport Wings



#### Michelle N. Lynde and Richard L. Campbell NASA Langley Research Center

7<sup>th</sup> UTIAS International Workshop on Aviation and Climate Change • May 19 – 21, 2021



- Introduction
- CATNLF Design Method
- Wind Tunnel Test
- Current Work
- Concluding Remarks





- "Laminar" and "turbulent" describes the state of the boundary layer (fluid very near the surface)
- Laminar flow significantly improves vehicle performance by reducing skin friction and profile drag
- For 777-class aircraft with laminar flow on 60% of the wings upper surface, the potential fuel burn savings per aircraft per year is 5-10%
  - 390,000 gallons of fuel savings
  - \$1.8 million in fuel cost savings
  - 8.2 million pounds of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduced

# **Potential for Laminar Flow on Commercial Transports**



# **Does Industry Care About Laminar Flow?**

NASA

- Currently flying on components with low sweep and/or low Reynolds numbers
- European industry heavily investing in laminar flow flight tests on transport wings
- Many next-generation configurations include laminar flow on wings to reach performance goals
- Boeing Chief Aerodynamicist claims laminar flow on transport wings is the "remaining Grand Challenge"



NLF Wing / Fuselage Honda Jet



*NLF Winglet* Boeing 737 MAX



NLF Nacelle Boeing 787









NASA











# **Crossflow Transition on Transport Wings**

- Historic options to address crossflow transition on wings:
  - 1. Reduce wing sweep
    - Penalty: Requires aircraft to fly slower
  - 2. Introduce a flow control system, such as suction
    - Penalty: Increases weight, complexity, and cost
- Neither option has "bought its way onto" commercial transports

#### **Research Goal**

Obtain significant extents of laminar flow on typical transport wings without historic crossflow penalties





#### **New NASA Laminar Flow Design Method**

Crossflow Attenuated NLF (CATNLF) design method changes the shape of the wing airfoils to obtain pressure distributions that delay transition by damping crossflow instabilities



# **Example Turbulent Airfoil Design**



<sup>2021</sup> UTIAS International Workshop on Aviation and Climate Change





### **Wind Tunnel Test Objectives**





#### **Test Objectives:**

- 1. Validate the CATNLF design methodology and analysis tools
- 2. Characterize the National Transonic Facility (NTF) laminar flow testing capabilities
- 3. Establish best practices for laminar flow wind tunnel testing

# Wind Tunnel Model Design

- Designed a model using the CATNLF design method
- Baseline configuration is the Common Research Model (CRM)
  - Generic open geometry of a 777-class transport
  - Used for computational and experimental studies around the world
- New model is referred to as the Common Research Model with Natural Laminar Flow (CRM-NLF)
- Design conditions:
  - Mach = 0.85
  - $\text{Re}_{MAC} = 30 \text{ million}$
  - $C_{L} = 0.50$
  - Flight turbulence levels (Critical N-factor = 10)



Planform view of the baseline CRM

### **Pressure Changes Needed for CATNLF Concept**





# **Airfoil Changes Needed for CATNLF Concept**





# **Crossflow Growth Resulting from CATNLF Concept**







CRM-NLF has laminar flow on 56% of the wing upper surface at the design condition, which provides a 7% drag reduction compared to the turbulent CRM



# **Facility Description**





Aerial view of the NTF complex

- Test completed in October 2018 in the National Transonic Facility (NTF)
- NTF is a pressurized cryogenic closed-circuit, continuous-flow, fan-driven wind tunnel
- Motivation for testing in the NTF:
  - Flight Reynolds numbers for relevant laminar flow data
  - Semispan testing capability for reducing unit Reynolds numbers
  - Acceptably low turbulence levels for laminar flow testing

NASA

- 5.2% scale semispan model
  - Semispan length = 60.2 inches
  - Reference chord = 14.3 inches
- Data acquired:
  - Surface pressure
  - Transition visualization
  - Force and moment
  - Model deformation

#### **Primary Test Conditions**

Mach	α (deg.)	T <sub>T</sub> (°F)	Re <sub>MAC</sub> (million)
0.86	1.5 to 3.0	+40	10.0 to 15.0
		-50	12.5 to 20.0
		-150	20.0 to 30.0



**CRM-NLF** semispan model installed in the NTF

### **Wind Tunnel Pressure Measurements**



# **Transition Visualization in the NTF**



Wing painted with Temperature Sensitive Paint (TSP) and new resistive heating layer to visualize extents of laminar flow



# **Transition Visualization in the NTF**



TSP images show regions of laminar flow on the wing upper surface



#### Sample TSP Images for an Alpha Sweep



Tunnel Conditions: M = 0.86, Re<sub>MAC</sub> = 15x10<sup>6</sup>

Laminar flow maintained across alpha sweep



# Sample TSP Images for a Reynolds Number Sweep



Tunnel Conditions: M = 0.86,  $\alpha$  = 1.5 deg.

Turbulent wedges at high Reynolds numbers make analysis challenging



2021 UTIAS International Workshop on Aviation and Climate Change

# Wind Tunnel Results Relative to Computational Predictions



Good agreement between pretest predictions and experimental extents of laminar flow suggest CATNLF assumptions, tools, and design method are valid



















Computational predictions of the CRM-NLF in a flight environment significantly expand the historic boundary for NLF technology



# **Current Work: CATNLF Flight Test**





- Flight testing the CATNLF concept provides a relevant environment (i.e., turbulence levels and model size) and higher Reynolds numbers
- Series of 3 flight tests underneath the F-15 in collaboration with Armstrong Flight Research Center
  - Resistive heating layer experiment for laminar flow detection (2020/2021, AIAA 2020-3089)
  - Flow rake experiment to quantify the flow environment under the F-15 (Summer 2022)
  - CATNLF "stub wing" test article to advance technology (Fall 2022)
- Plans to also test the CATNLF "stub wing" test article in a wind tunnel to provide direct comparison of laminar flow in two environments

# Impact of CATNLF and Wind Tunnel Test

- CATNLF offers a practical means to the known NLF
  performance benefit without historic penalties
  - Speed maintained without adding suction system
  - Compatible with goals for next-generation aircraft
  - CATNLF design method is available to US citizens
- Wind tunnel test data to be used to promote advancement of computational tools
  - CRM-NLF has been released as an open-geometry, similar to baseline CRM
  - Data was used in the recent AIAA Transition Prediction Workshop in January 2021
- Lessons learned during wind tunnel test contribute to
  establishing best practices for laminar flow testing









- Laminar flow on transport wings has the potential to reduce fuel burn by 5-10%, but has been limited in practical application due to the challenge of crossflow growth on swept wings
- A new NASA laminar flow design method, CATNLF, has been developed to provide the known NLF performance benefit without historic penalties
- CATNLF design method has been experimentally investigated with a wind tunnel test in the NTF
  - Experimental laminar flow extents agree well with pretest computational predictions
  - Extents of laminar flow nearly doubled any previous NLF experiment at comparable sweeps
  - Results will be used to advance computational tools for the worldwide laminar flow community
- A CATNLF flight test is being developed to experimentally investigate the design method in a flight-relevant environment and advance the technology





# **Back Up Slides**

# Laminar Flow Extents in Flight vs. Wind Tunnel



Environment (represented by critical N-factor) effects extent of laminar flow



# Laminar Flow Extents with Varying Reynolds Number

Reducing Re<sub>MAC</sub> in wind tunnel environment will extend laminar flow



**Tunnel Environment (Critical N-factor = 6)** 

# **Tollmien-Schlichting Growth Resulting from CATNLF Concept**



# **Attachment Line Control on CRM-NLF**



Attachment line contamination is addressed with reduced sweep inboard





CRM-NLF shows sustained laminar flow and drag reduction at near-cruise conditions



# Changes with Total Loss of Laminar Flow on CRM-NLF





Configuration	CL	CD	ΔC <sub>D</sub> (from Baseline)
Baseline	0.500	0.0236	
NLF Design	0.500	0.0220	-16 counts (7% savings)
NLF Design (Fully Turbulent)	0.500	0.0246	+10 counts (4% penalty)

